

1 + 1 = 2: Formal Foundations and Meaning

The statement $1 + 1 = 2$ is elementary arithmetic, yet its truth depends on how “1”, “+”, and “2” are formally defined. In **Peano arithmetic**, the natural numbers start with 0 and a successor function (S). One defines ($1 = S(0)$) and ($2 = S(1)$). Addition is given recursively by

[$a + 0 = a$, $\quad a + S(b) = S(a + b)$.]

From these axioms one computes: ($1 + 1 = S(0) + S(0) = S(S(0) + 0) = S(S(0)) = 2$) ¹. Equivalently, the rule ($a+1=S(a)$) (from ($a+1 = a + S(0) = S(a+0)$)) shows immediately ($1+1=S(1)=2$) ¹.

- **Peano axioms:** 0 is a natural number; every (n) has a successor (S(n)); 1 is (S(0)), 2 is (S(1)).
- **Addition rules:** ($a+0=a$) and ($a+S(b)=S(a+b)$). Thus ($1+1=S(1)=2$).

Set-Theoretic Construction

In **set theory** one standard model identifies each natural number with a set. For example (Von Neumann ordinals):

$$0 = \emptyset, \quad 1 = \{0\} = \{\emptyset\}, \quad 2 = \{0, 1\} = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}, \quad \dots$$

Here “1” is the set of all one-element sets and “2” the set of all two-element sets. One defines **cardinal addition** by disjoint union: if (A) and (B) are disjoint sets then $|A| + |B| = |A \cup B|$. MathWorld states:

“Let A and B be any sets with empty intersection. Then ($|A| + |B| = |A \cup B|$)” ².

For finite sets, this gives the usual arithmetic sum. In particular, take ($A=\{a\}$, $B=\{b\}$) disjoint singletons. Then ($|A|=|B|=1$) and ($|A \cup B|=2$), so ($1+1=2$).

- **Von Neumann ordinals:** define ($0=\emptyset$), ($1=\{0\}$), ($2=\{0,1\}$), etc.
- **Cardinal addition:** For disjoint sets (A,B), ($|A| + |B| = |A \cup B|$) ². Two singletons union to a two-element set, so ($1+1=2$).

Formal Logic (Principia Mathematica)

Russell and Whitehead’s Principia Mathematica aimed to derive arithmetic from pure logic. They defined **1** as the class of all one-element sets and **2** as the class of all two-element sets. Addition of cardinals was defined via union of disjoint representatives. After developing propositional logic, classes, and relations for hundreds of pages, Principia states in proposition 54.43:

“From this proposition it will follow, when arithmetical addition has been defined, that $1 + 1 = 2$.” ³

In other words, after all the groundwork of logic and set theory, the fact $(1+1=2)$ follows as a theorem of their system. (The actual detailed proof appears later in Volume II.)

- **Cardinals in Principia:** 1 = class of singletons, 2 = class of doubletons. Addition is union of disjoint classes.
- **Principia quote:** “...when arithmetical addition has been defined, $1 + 1 = 2$ ” ³, indicating that $1+1=2$ is a derived theorem of their logical system.

Philosophical Perspectives

Mathematicians and philosophers have long asked why $(1+1=2)$ is true. Some key views include:

- **Logicism (Frege & Russell):** Arithmetic truths are **analytic** and a priori – derivable from logic alone. Frege held that finite arithmetic (e.g. $1+1=2$) can be proved from logical axioms without extra intuition ⁴. In this view, $1+1=2$ is true because of how “1”, “2”, and “+” are defined within logic ⁴.
- **Kant (transcendental idealism):** Kant argued that basic arithmetic judgments are synthetic a priori, not mere definitions. (Logicians rejected this, insisting $1+1=2$ follows from definitions and logic.)
- **Formalism (Hilbert):** Mathematics is manipulation of symbols. $1+1=2$ is a **theorem** of the Peano axioms or other formal system. Its truth is guaranteed by consistency of the system.
- **Intuitionism:** Accepts $1+1=2$ because one can explicitly construct two as the successor of one. (However, intuitionists dispute other classical results.)
- **Tautology (Wittgenstein):** In the Tractatus, Wittgenstein held that mathematical equations are **tautologies** or “pseudo-propositions” with no factual content. They do not describe the world but show that two expressions have the same meaning. He writes that mathematical equations (like $1+1=2$) “merely mark ... [the] equivalence of meaning [of two expressions]” ⁵ and carry no empirical information.
- **Empiricism vs Platonism:** Empiricists (e.g. Mill) once saw arithmetic as generalized experience, but the consensus is that $1+1=2$ holds independently of physical objects. Platonists view it as a timeless mathematical truth.

Each perspective highlights different reasons for the obviousness of $1 + 1 = 2$ – whether it is by definition (analytic), by construction (synthetic), by formal proof, or by linguistic convention. The statement remains a prime example in logic and philosophy of what mathematical truth means under various foundations ⁴ ⁵.

Sources: Definitions and proofs of $(1+1=2)$ can be found in standard texts on Peano arithmetic and set theory, and are discussed in foundational works like Principia Mathematica ³. Philosophical analysis of its status appears in writings by Frege and in discussions of Wittgenstein and the analytic/synthetic distinction ⁴ ⁵.

¹ Peano axioms - Wikipedia

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Peano_axioms

² Cardinal Addition -- from Wolfram MathWorld

<https://mathworld.wolfram.com/CardinalAddition.html>

³ Principia Mathematica - Wikipedia

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Principia_Mathematica

- 4 **Frege, Gottlob | Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy**

<https://iep.utm.edu/frege/>

- 5 **Wittgenstein's Philosophy of Mathematics (Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy)**

<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/wittgenstein-mathematics/>